

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,003	01/31/2006	Emma L. Jones	CE10521EP	9846
22917 7590 05/19/2008 MOTOROLA, INC.			EXAMINER	
1303 EAST ALGONQUIN ROAD			SHEDRICK, CHARLES TERRELL	
IL01/3RD SCHAUMBU	RG, IL 60196		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2617	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/19/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Docketing.Schaumburg@motorola.com APT099@motorola.com

Application No. Applicant(s) JONES, EMMA L. 10/567,003

066 4-4 0	'	,				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	CHARLES SHEDRICK	2617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL-WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after 51% (6) MOTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply with the set or extended period for reply with 1/2 yet abute. Any roply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patient term adultment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a repty be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
· _						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	wn from consideration.					
6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement					
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob-	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
		(4) (6)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	r(u) or (i).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	•	o in this National	Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		d				
See the attached detailed Office action of a list	or the certified copies not receive	u.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/06)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application				

Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08)	 Notice of Informal Patent Application 	
Paper No/s VMail Date	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/567,003 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2,4 and 6-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ala-Laurila et al: Wireless LAN Access Network Architecture for Mobile Operators", IEEE Communication Magazine, 'IEEE Service Center, Piscataway N.J., US, vol. 39, no. 11, November 2001 (2001-11), pages 82-89.

Consider claims 1, 10, and 11, Ala-Laurila teaches an Apparatus and method for enabling access to a WLAN communication system comprising; receiving (e.g., and transmitting) a WLAN access request from a WLAN communication device (e.g., see page 86, col. 1 lines 15-32 and figure 4)(i.e., the terminal sends the authentication request and locates an access controller); setting up a charging call via a second communication system in response to the WLAN access request using second communication system subscription information associated with the WLAN communication device(e.g., see page 86, col. 1 line 33 – col. 2 line 36 and figure 4)(the authentication server requests triplets from the home location register, if the authentication was successful, the access controller sends the authentication server an indication that a new accounting session has been started); enabling access to the WLAN communication system in response to the setting up of the charging call(page 86 col. 1 line 33- col. 2 line 36 and figure 4)(i.e., the access controller

Art Unit: 2617

enables the terminal data packets and the ack to the terminal).

Consider claim 2 and as applied to claim 1, Ala-Laurila teaches wherein the step of setting up a charging call comprises the step of forwarding authentication request information received from the second communication system to the WLAN communication device and the step of forwarding authentication response information received from the WLAN communication device to the second communication system (page 86 col. 1 lines 1 – col. 2 line 36 and figure 4)(initial authentication, relaying the authentication request to the correct authentication server and a new session is created).

Consider claim 4 and as applied to any preceding claim, Ala-Laurila teaches the claimed invention comprising the step of selecting the duration of the charging call depending on the requested service to be accessed (page 87 col. 1 lines 10 -29)(session lifetime value, consider also well known features of RADIUS and DIAMETER IETF protocols where the duration session can be set by timer).

Consider claim 6 and as applied to any preceding claim, Ala-Laurila teaches the claimed invention comprising the step of determining the duration of the charging call and the step of discontinuing the charging call when the predetermined duration has expired accessed (page 87 col. 1 lines 10 -29)(session lifetime value, consider also well known features of RADIUS and DIAMETER IETF protocols where the duration session can be set by timer).

Consider claim 7 and as applied to any preceding claim, Ala-Laurila teaches comprising the step of determining the duration of the permitted WLAN access, and the step of discontinuing access once the allowed duration has expired. accessed (page 87 col. 1 lines 10 - 29)(session lifetime value, consider also well known features of RADIUS and DIAMETER

Art Unit: 2617

IETF protocols where the duration session can be set by timer)

Consider claim 8 and as applied to any preceding claim, Ala-Laurila teaches the claimed invention comprising the step of determining magnitude of permitted data transfer during WLAN access and the step of discontinuing access once permitted data transfer has occurred (e.g., see page 87 col. 1 lines 30-39)(i.e., volume based).

Consider claim 9 and as applied to any preceding claim, Ala-Laurila teaches the claimed invention comprising the step of initiating a new charging call in respect of additional access in response to a request for additional access received from the WLAN device (page 86 col. 1 line 33-col. 2 line 36) (i.e., noting RADIUS functionality)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ala-Laurila et al: Wireless LAN Access Network Architecture for Mobile Operators", IEEE Communication

Application/Control Number: 10/567,003

Art Unit: 2617

Magazine, 'IEEE Service Center, Piscataway N.J., US, vol. 39, no. 11, November 2001 (2001-11), pages 82-89 in view of McIntosh et al., US Patent Pub. No.: 2003/0139180, hereinafter, "McIntosh"

Consider claim 3 and as applied to any preceding claim, Ala-Laurila teaches the claimed invention except the claimed invention also comprising the step of selecting the destination of the charging call depending on the requested service to be accessed.

However, In analogous art, McIntosh teaches the claimed invention also comprising the step of selecting the destination of the charging call depending on the requested service to be accessed (e.g., see paragraph 0067)(RADIUS server forwards accounting packets to a billing server through a RADIUS proxy interface to bill telecommunications charges to the appropriate parties).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Ala-Laurila to include the claimed invention also comprising the step of selecting the destination of the charging call depending on the requested service to be accessed as taught by McIntosh for the purpose of allowing access to supplementary services.

Consider claim 5 and as applied to any preceding claim, Ala-Laurila teaches the claimed invention except wherein the charging call is a premium rate call.

However, In analogous art, McIntosh teaches the claimed invention also comprising the wherein the charging call is a premium rate call (e.g., see paragraph 0067)(RADIUS server forwards accounting packets to a billing server through a RADIUS proxy interface to bill telecommunications charges to the appropriate parties).

Art Unit: 2617

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to modify Ala-Laurila to include wherein the charging call is a premium rate

call as taught by McIntosh for the purpose of allowing access to supplementary services.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to CHARLES SHEDRICK whose telephone number is (571)272-

8621. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 8:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Harper Paul can be reached on (571)-272-7605. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/VINCENT P. HARPER/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617

/Charles Shedrick/

Examiner, Art Unit 2617

May 8, 2008